arXiv:1411.3472v1 [math.NT] 13 Nov 2014

Generalized Number Systems and Application to Hyperoctahedral Groups

F. Patrick Rabarison*, Hery Randriamaro†

November 14, 2014

Abstract

In this work, we generalize the integer enumeration basis. We also construct bijections between the elements of special sets and the elements of some groups and treat the special case of hyperoctohedral groups. We then find an analogous of the Lehmer code for the hyperoctahedral groups.

Contents

1 Introduction and notation **1**

2 General basis for positive integers **2**
2.1 General Number systems 2
2.2 The hyperoctahedral number system 4
2.3 Extension to rational and real numbers 6

3 Applications to some Coxeter groups **7**
3.1 The Lehmer code 7
3.2 A code related to hyperoctahedral groups 8

1 Introduction and notation

A number system is a framework for representing numerals. Classical numbering system or enumeration system with base q ($q \in \mathbb{N}$) are expressing all natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the form:

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{l(n)} n_i.q^i \ , \text{ where } n_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, q-1\} \tag{1}$$

Such representation are for example used in number theory or combinatorics as usefull tool for finding exotic congruences. In other hand one have also the factorial number system which is already known in $19th$ century by Cantor [3]. This is expressing each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in the form

*University of Antananarivo, Madagascar, prabarison@gmail.com
†International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Italy, hrandria@ictp.it

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{l} f_i.i! \ , \text{ where } f_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, i\}, \tag{2}$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and it is well known that these representation are unique. Known as Lehmer code [6], Laisant [5] build a code by associating an element of this representation an element of a symmetric group. This assiciation is proven to be a bijection between the set $\{0, 1, \cdots, n! - 1\}$ and the symmetric group S_n.

This article is organised as follow: in section 2, we will begin by generalizing these notion of representations. For that we given the condition for a infinite sequence of numbers to be an enumeration basis. We will also extend the results to rationals numbers and real numbers. In section 3, we apply this methode for some sequence of positive integrers and find a Lehmer code analogous result for the hyperoctahedral groups.

Notation

We make the convention of notation:

- $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ is the set of non-negative integers.
- $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots)$, $\mathcal{U} = (U_0, U_1, U_2, \cdots)$ are sequences of non-negative integers.
- $\beta = 1 + \alpha$ is a sequence of integers such that $\beta_i = 1 + \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- Bold symbols $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ will denote respectively some standard vectors e_1, e_2, e_i, e_j, e_k of the canonical basis of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- $\mathsf{B} = (\mathsf{B_n})_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}_0} = (2^n n!)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence of integers.
- $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of hyperoctahedral groups and should not be confounded with the sequence B.
- For a numbering system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ (see Definition 2.1), $< U_0, \ldots, U_n >$ will denote the set $\{\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i U_i \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq \alpha_i\}$.

2 General basis for positive integers

2.1 General Number systems

Let us start with two sequences of integers $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We want to express all positive integer n with an expression:

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{l} k_i.U^i \ , \text{ where } k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, \alpha_i\} \text{ and for some } l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \tag{3}$$

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ and α be two sequences of non-negative integers. We say that the system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ is a system of enumeration or a number system if and only if all elements of $\mathbb{N}_0$ can be represented as in (3) and this representation is unique.*

It is important here that U_n and α_n are non-negative integers. Other authors considers for example some U_n negative or even complex numbers. See for example [4, Chapter 4] for this topic . The unicity is also important in this definition. The representation as in (3) are also called radix system but the representation may not be unique. That means that for a suitable fixed sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we need to find the appropriate sequence $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that the representation above is unique. And conversely, for a fixed sequence $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the question is: are there any appropriate sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that all positive integers are representable with the system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$?

Convention. For a fixed number system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$, and $a_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $a_n \leq \alpha_n$, we use then the convention

$$a_n a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \cdots a_2 a_1 a_0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_n : a_{n-1} : a_{n-2} : \cdots a_2 : a_1 : a_0$$

to denote the number $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i U_i$.

Lemma 2.2. *Consider a fixed number system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ and let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

$$a = a_n a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \cdots a_2 a_1 a_0$$

with $a_n \neq 0$, then

$$a_n U_n \leq a \leq (a_n + 1) U_n$$

Proof. By Euclidean division, one can write $a = a_n U_n + r$ with $r = a_{n-1} \cdots a_2 a_1 a_0 \leq U_n$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ is a number system and*

$$a = a_n a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \cdots a_2 a_1 a_0 = b_m b_{m-1} m_{m-2} \cdots b_2 b_1 b_0$$

with $a_n \neq 0$ and $b_m \neq 0$, then

$$n = m \text{ and } a_i = b_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots n\} .$$

Proof. By the Lemma 2.2, the relation $n = m$ is immediate because if $m > n$ then a will be smaller than U_m which is absurd. So $n = m$ and one have the unicity by induction and by the unicity of the expression $a = a_n U_n + r_n$. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of positive integers. Then, $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ is an number system if and only if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha_n \geq 1$, $U_0 = 1$ and*

$$U_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i \, . \tag{4}$$

i.e all natural number can be expressed as in (3) and the representation is unique.

Proof. By construction and the Lemma 2.3, we just need that $1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i . U^i$ should be equal to U_n. The last condition means that $\frac{U_n}{U_{n-1}} = (1 + \alpha_{i-1})$ which lead to the formula $U_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha_i)$. □

The theorem above also means that once, we fix a specific sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\alpha_n \geq 1$, then there is only one sequence $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ is a number system. And conversely, for a fiven positive sequence of integer $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there will we a corresponding $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ is a number system if $U_0 = 1$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_n := \frac{Un+1}{U_n} \in \mathbb{N}$.

A Horner's-like procedure. Horner's scheme is based on expressing a polynomial by a particular expression so that the value of the corresponding polynomial function is quickly obtained. For instance:

$$a + bx + cx^2dx^3 + ex^4 \;=\; a + x \cdot (b + x \cdot (c + x \cdot (d + x \cdot e)))$$

For a number system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$, recall that $U_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i$. To express a positive integer a in the $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$-system, one proceed to the following: Begin by dividing a by β_0 and take a_0 to be the rest $a_0 := r_0$:

$$a = r_0 + \beta_0 q_0 \ .$$

Divide q_1 by β_1 and take the a_1 to be the rest $a_1 := r_1$:

$$q_1 = r_1 + \beta_1 q_2 \ .$$

Continue the procedure untill $q_n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by dividing q_i by β_i and take $a_i := r_i$:

$$q_i = r_i + \beta_i q_{i+1} \ .$$

Clearly, we have: $a = \; a_0 + \beta_0 \cdot (a_1 + \beta_1 \cdot (a_2 + \beta_2 \cdot (a_3 + \cdots)))$.

Classical examples. If one choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ suth that $\alpha_i = m - 1$ with $m \geq 2$, then we recover the classical system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ with

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{(m)} = (1, m, m^2, m^3, \cdots)$$

and the addition and multiplication procedures are well known.

If now we choose $A := (A_n)_n$ with $A_n = (n+1)!$ $\alpha_A = (n+1)_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then one obtains

Definition 2.5 (Factorial System)**.** *One define the factorial system to be the number system:*

$$(A, \alpha_A) := (((n+1)!)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}, (n+1)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}) \ . \tag{5}$$

2.2 The hyperoctahedral number system

Let us now take as basis $\mathsf{B} = (\mathsf{B}_0, \mathsf{B}_1, \mathsf{B}_2, \mathsf{B}_3, \dots)$ and the corresponding α such that

$$\mathsf{B_n} = 2^n n! \ \text{ corresponds to } \alpha_n = 2n + 1 \ . \tag{6}$$

This means that $\alpha = (1, 3, 5, 7, \dots)$ and the first twenty numbers are represented in table (1).

Definition 2.6 (Hyperoctahedral System)**.** *One define the hyperoctahedral system to be the system:*

$$(\mathsf{B},\, \alpha_{\mathsf{B}}) := ((2^n n!)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0},\, (2n+1)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}) \ . \tag{7}$$

This definition is motivated by the fact that we choose $U_n = \mathsf{B_n}$ to be the cardinal of the hyperoctahedral group B_n. We have:

Theorem 2.7. *Every positive integer a has a unique representation in the hyperoctahedral system i.e* $(\mathsf{B}, \alpha_{\mathsf{B}})$ *is a number system.*

Proof. This is obvious since by the Theorem 2.4, one just need to verify that $\frac{\mathsf{B_{n+1}}}{\mathsf{B_n}} = 2n+2$. □

Classical	Factorial	Hyperoctahedral	Classical	Factorial	Hyperoctahedral
0	0	0	40	1220	500
1	1	1	41	1221	501
2	10	10	42	1300	510
3	11	11	43	1301	511
4	20	20	44	1310	520
5	21	21	45	1311	521
6	100	30	46	1320	530
7	101	31	47	1321	531
8	110	100	48	2000	1000
9	111	101	49	2001	1001
10	120	110	50	2010	1010
11	121	111	51	2011	1011
12	200	120	52	2020	1020
13	201	121	53	2021	1021
14	210	130	54	2100	1030
15	211	131	55	2101	1031
16	220	200	56	2110	1100
17	221	201	57	2111	1101
18	300	210	58	2120	1110
19	301	211	59	2121	1111
20	310	220	60	2300	1120
21	311	221	61	2301	1121
22	320	230	62	2310	1130
23	321	231	63	2311	1131
24	1000	300	64	2320	1200
25	1001	301	65	2321	1201
26	1010	310	66	3000	1210
27	1011	311	67	3001	1211
28	1020	320	68	3010	1220
29	1021	321	69	3011	1221
30	1100	330	70	3020	1230
31	1101	331	71	3021	1231
32	1110	400	72	3100	1300
33	1111	401	73	3101	1301
34	1120	410	74	3110	1310
35	1121	411	75	3111	1311
36	1200	420	76	3120	1320
37	1201	421	77	3121	1321
38	1210	430	78	3200	1330
39	1211	431	79	3201	1331

Table 1: Representing positive integers in the Classical system $\mathcal{U}^{(10)}$, in the Factorial system and in the Hyperoctahedral system.

2.3 Extension to rational and real numbers

One can extends the represenatation to all integers by adding the opposite of all positive integers. But one can also extend it to representation of rational numbers and real numbers.

Definition 2.8. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ a number system, one can extend the system with $U_{-n} = \frac{1}{U_n}$ and $\alpha_{-n} = \alpha_n$. Then, define the set of number $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^+$ to be the set of real numbers of the form*

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{m} a_i U_i \tag{8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\alpha^+ \cup \mathcal{A}_\alpha^- \text{ where } \mathcal{A}_\alpha^- = -\mathcal{A}_\alpha^+ \tag{9}$$

Note that for this definition holds, it is necessary to verify that the serie is convergent. This exercice is left to the reader.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$ an extended number system, then all rational integer can be represented in this system i.e:*

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A_\alpha \ . \tag{10}$$

Proof. This is an easy exercice for the reader. □

Remark 2.10. *Unfortunately, unlike the Theorem 2.4, the representation is not unique for rational numbers. For instance, for a fixed number system $(\mathcal{U}, \alpha)$, then one has $U_{n+1} = U_n(1 + \alpha_n)$ which lead to the ralation:*

$$\frac{\alpha_n}{U_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{U_n} - \frac{1}{U_{n+1}} \ . \tag{11}$$

From that, one deduce easily that

$$\frac{1}{U_n} = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{U_{n+1}} \tag{12}$$

For example, the factoradic representation of a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ in the open unit interval, i.e. $0 < \frac{a}{b} < 1$, is defined as

$$\frac{a}{b} := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{d_i}{(i+1)!}, \quad 0 \leq d_i \leq i,$$

where d_i, $0 \leq d_i \leq i$, is the "factoradic digit" for place-value $\frac{1}{(i+1)!}$, and N is the number of "factoradic digits" after the "factoradic point".

The remark above also show for instance that rational numbers may have multiple factoradic representations:

$$\frac{1}{m!} = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{i}{(i+1)!}, \quad m \geq 1, \tag{13}$$

where on the left side we have the terminating form, while on the right we have the nonterminating form. This is analogous to

$$\frac{1}{b^m} = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \frac{b-1}{b^{i+1}}, \quad m \geq 0,\ b \geq 2, \tag{14}$$

for the number system $(\mathcal{U}^{(b)}, (b-1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Representation of rational in an extended number system. One can consider without loss of generality that the rational number $\frac{p}{q} \in]0,1[$. And write:

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{b_0}{\beta_0} + \frac{b_1}{\beta_0\beta_1} + \frac{b_2}{\beta_0\beta_1\beta_2} + \frac{b_3}{\beta_0\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} + \cdots . \tag{15}$$

Then instead of dividing as in the Horner's like procedure, one multiply by the β_i and take the integer parts:

$$b_0 = \lfloor \frac{p}{q}\beta_0 \rfloor, b_1 = \lfloor (\frac{p}{q}\beta_0 - b_0)\beta_1 \rfloor, b_2 = \lfloor ((\frac{p}{q}\beta_0 - b_0)\beta_1) - b_1)\beta 2 \rfloor, \cdots .$$

Note that this porcedure also lead to the development of a real number in a certain basis.

Examples. Some rationals and real numbers with a factoradic representation:
$e = 1 : 0.1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : ...$
$\frac{23}{24} = 0 : 1.2 : 3$
$\frac{47}{30} = 1 : 1.0 : 1 : 3$

Examples. Some rationals and real numbers with a hyperoctahedral representation:
$\sqrt{e} = 1.1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :: 1 : 1 : ...$
$\frac{13}{16} = 0.1 : 2 : 3$
$\frac{205}{69} = 2.0 : 1 : 4$

3 Applications to some Coxeter groups

3.1 The Lehmer code

Cantor seems to be the first to introduce the factorial number system in [3]. Then, Laisant (cf [5]) introduce a code by associating each element of the symmetric group S_n to a positive integer in $0, 1, 2, , \cdots , n! - 1$. He prove that this association is in fact a bijection. The key ingredient is using a statistic on S_n, namely the inversion statistic.

If a permutation σ is specified by the sequence $(\sigma_1, \cdots , \sigma_n)$ of its images of $1, \cdots , n$, then it is encoded by a sequence of n numbers, but not all such sequences are valid since every number must be used only once.

The Lehmer code is the sequence

$$L(\sigma) = (L(\sigma)_1, \ldots, L(\sigma)_n) \quad \text{where} \quad L(\sigma)_i = \#\{j > i : \sigma_j < \sigma_i\},$$

in other words the term $L(\sigma)_i$ counts the number of terms in $(\sigma_1, \cdots , \sigma_n)$ to the right of σ_i that are smaller than it, a number between 0 and $n-i$, allowing for $n+1-i$ different values.

A pair of indices (i, j) with $i < j$ and $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$ is called an inversion of σ, and $L(\sigma)_i$ counts the number of inversions (i, j) with i fixed and varying j. It follows that

$$L(\sigma)_1 + L(\sigma)_2 + \cdots + L(\sigma)_n$$

is the total number of inversions of σ , which is also the number of adjacent transpositions that are needed to transform the permutation into the identity permutation.

In this section, we will be investigating on costruction of an analogue of this code for the Hyperoctahedral groups B_n. The key ingredients of our construction are based on the existence of inversion on this family of groups.

3.2 A code related to hyperoctahedral groups

Recall that the hyperoctahedral group B_n is the group of signed permutations of the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We write $\mathbf{i}$ for the ith standard basis vector. This interaction between simple font for an integer and bold font for the corresponding standard vector is kept in all this section. For instance, for a signed permutation π, $\pi(\mathbf{i})$ refers to the $|\pi(i)|$th standard basis vector. We use the notation

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \dots & \mathbf{n} \\ \pi(\mathbf{1}) & \pi(\mathbf{2}) & \dots & \pi(\mathbf{n}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for an element π of B_n with $\pi(\mathbf{i}) \in \{\pm\mathbf{1}, \dots, \pm\mathbf{n}\}$. The element π is an invertible linear map of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
It is convenient to think B_n as the Coxeter group of the same type with root system

$$\Phi_n = \{\pm\mathbf{i},\ \pm\mathbf{i} \pm \mathbf{j} \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le n\},$$

and positive root system

$$\Phi_n^+ = \{\mathbf{k},\ \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j},\ \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j} \mid k \in [n],\ 1 \le i < j \le n\}.$$

We use the number of inversions defined by Reiner [7, 2. Preliminaries] that is: The number of inversions of the element π of B_n is

$$\mathtt{inv}\,\pi = \#\{v \in \Phi_n^+ \mid \pi^{-1}(v) \in -\Phi_n^+\}.$$

Let us consider the following subset of Φ_n^+ defined by

$$\Phi_{n,i}^+ = \{\mathbf{i},\ \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j},\ \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j} \mid i < j \le n\}, \tag{16}$$

and define the number of i-inversions of π by

$$\mathtt{inv}_i\,\pi = \#\{v \in \Phi_{n,i}^+ \mid \pi^{-1}(v) \in -\Phi_n^+\}. \tag{17}$$

Example 3.1. *In the following table, we see the corresponding* 1*-inversions and* 2*-inversions of the eight elements of* B_2*:*

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $i \in [n]$ *and* $\pi \in B_n$*. If*

(*i*) $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}$*, then*

$$\mathtt{inv}_i(\pi) = \#\big\{k \in \{i+1, \dots, n\} \mid j > |\pi(k)|\big\},$$

(*ii*) $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = -\mathbf{j}$*, then*

$$\mathtt{inv}_i(\pi) = 1 + \#\big\{k \in \{i+1, \dots, n\} \mid j > |\pi(k)|\big\} + 2.\#\big\{k \in \{i+1, \dots, n\} \mid j < |\pi(k)|\big\}.$$

Proof. Use the definition of the number of i-inversions (Equation 16 and Equation 17). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\sigma, \tau \in B_n$*. If* $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) \ne \tau(\mathbf{1})$ *then* $\mathtt{inv}_1\,\sigma \ne \mathtt{inv}_1\,\tau$*.*

Proof. We use the equations of Lemma 3.2. Let $i, j \in [n]$. If

- $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{i}$ and $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{j}$ with $i < j$, then $\mathtt{inv}_1\,\tau - \mathtt{inv}_1\,\sigma = j - i$,

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
\pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_0)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_0) = 0\ 0 \\
\pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} & -\mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_1)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_1) = 0\ 1 \\
\pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_2)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_2) = 1\ 0 \\
\pi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{2} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_3)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_3) = 1\ 1 \\
\pi_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ -\mathbf{2} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_4)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_4) = 2\ 0 \\
\pi_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ -\mathbf{2} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_5)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_5) = 2\ 1 \\
\pi_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_6)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_6) = 3\ 0 \\
\pi_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ -\mathbf{1} & -\mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix} & \mathtt{inv}_1(\pi_7)\ \mathtt{inv}_2(\pi_7) = 3\ 1
\end{array}$$

Table 2: The 1-inversions and 2-inversions of the elements of B_2.

- $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = -\mathbf{i}$ and $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = -\mathbf{j}$ with $i < j$, then $\mathtt{inv}_1\,\sigma - \mathtt{inv}_1\,\tau = j - i$,
- $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{i}$ and $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = -\mathbf{j}$, then $\mathtt{inv}_1\,\sigma < n \leq \mathtt{inv}_1\,\tau$.

□

Lemma 3.4. *We have* $\mathtt{inv}_1\,B_n = \{0, \ldots, 2n-1\}$.

Proof. On one hand, we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that the minimal value of $\mathtt{inv}_1$ is 0, corresponding to the elements $\pi \in B_n$ such that $\pi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, and the maximal is $2n-1$, corresponding to the elements $\pi \in B_n$ such that $\pi(\mathbf{1}) = -\mathbf{1}$.
One the other hand, we deduce from Lemma 3.3 that $\mathtt{inv}_1$ has $2n$ possible values. □

Let us consider the enumeration basis $\mathsf{B} = (\mathsf{B}_0, \mathsf{B}_1, \mathsf{B}_2, \mathsf{B}_3, \ldots)$ relative to the hyperoctahedral groups. Recall that $\mathsf{B}_\mathsf{n} = 2^n n!$ with corresponding maximal positive integer $\alpha_n = 2n+1$. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of B_n and those of $< \mathsf{B}_0, \mathsf{B}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{B}_{n-1} >$ with respect to the i-inversions. This bijection is given by*

$$b_n : \begin{array}{ccc} B_n & \to & < \mathsf{B}_0, \ldots, \mathsf{B}_{n-1} > \\ \pi & \mapsto & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathtt{inv}_{n-i+1}(\pi)\mathsf{B}_{i-1} \end{array}.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, we have trivially $b_1(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}) = 0$ and $b_1(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}) = \mathsf{B}_0$. From table 2 of Example 3.1, we can deduce b_2. For instance $b_2(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ -\mathbf{2} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}) = 2\mathsf{B}_1 + \mathsf{B}_0$.
Now, we assume that the bijection exists for any positive integer smaller than n and prove by induction that b_{n+1} also exists.
For $\pi \in B_{n+1}$ and $i \in [n+1]$, we write $\mathtt{sg}\,\pi(\mathbf{i})$ for the sign of $\pi(\mathbf{i})$.

Let $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \dots & \mathbf{n+1} \\ \pi(\mathbf{1}) & \pi(\mathbf{2}) & \dots & \pi(\mathbf{n+1}) \end{pmatrix} \in B_{n+1}$. We consider the n rightmost columns of π, i.e. $\hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{2} & \dots & \mathbf{n+1} \\ \pi(\mathbf{2}) & \dots & \pi(\mathbf{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}$, and define $\overline{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{2} & \dots & \mathbf{n+1} \\ \overline{\pi(\mathbf{2})} & \dots & \overline{\pi(\mathbf{n+1})} \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$\overline{\pi(\mathbf{i})} = \begin{cases} \pi(\mathbf{i}) + \mathtt{sg}\big(\pi(\mathbf{i})\big)\,\mathbf{1} & \text{if } |\pi(i)| < |\pi(1)|, \\ \pi(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } |\pi(i)| > |\pi(1)|. \end{cases}$$

Then, we get an element $\overline{\pi}$ of B_n and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathtt{inv}_{n-i+2}(\hat{\pi})\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{i}-1} = b_n(\overline{\pi}). \tag{18}$$

We can now proceed of the proof of the existence of the bijection b_{n+1}.
Let $\sigma, \tau \in B_n$ such that $\sigma \neq \tau$. If

- $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) \neq \tau(\mathbf{1})$, then, from Lemma 3.3, we have $\mathtt{inv}_1(\sigma)\mathsf{B}_\mathsf{n} \neq \mathtt{inv}_1(\tau)\mathsf{B}_\mathsf{n}$,
- $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = \tau(\mathbf{1})$, then, from Equation 18, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathtt{inv}_{n-i+2}(\sigma)\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{i}-1} \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathtt{inv}_{n-i+2}(\tau)\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{i}-1}.$$

So, on one hand, the map $\pi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathtt{inv}_{n-i+2}(\pi)\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{i}-1}$ is injective.
On the other hand, we deduce from Lemma 3.4 that $\mathtt{inv}_1 B_{n+1} = \{0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. □

References

[1] Bourbaki, Nicolas (1968), "Groupes et algèbres de Lie", Ch. 4–6, Eléments de Mathématique, Fasc. XXXIV, Hermann, Paris; Masson, Paris, 1981.

[2] Bjorner, Anders - Brenti, Francesco "Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups", Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Springer, 2005.

[3] Cantor, G. (1869), Über einfache Zahlensysteme, Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik 14 (1869), 121–128.

[4] Knuth, D. E. (1997), "Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms ", The Art of Computer Programming (3rd ed.), Addison-Wesley, p. 192, ISBN 0-201-89684-2.

[5] Laisant, Charles-Ange (1888), "Sur la numération factorielle, application aux permutations ", Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 16: 176–183.

[6] Lehmer, D.H. (1960), "Teaching combinatorial tricks to a computer", Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. Combinatorial Analysis, Amer. Math. Soc. 10: 179–193

[7] Reiner, Victor (1993), "Signed Permutation Statistics", Eur. J. Comb. 14(6): 553-567.